

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/730,611 12/08/2003 Gary Sewell 278-0002US 4014 29855 7590 **EXAMINER** 03/13/2006 WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI, VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 20333 SH 249 SUITE 600 3745 HOUSTON, TX 77070

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- ·	<u> </u>	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	, . 	
		10/730,611	SEWELL, GARY		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christopher Verdier	3745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
	• •	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH	O OD TUUDTY (CO)	DAVC	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF A SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-16	6 - 05.			
		action is non-final.			
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)🖂	8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National St	tage	
	application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	tie)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-1	52)	
i ape					

Election/Restrictions

In light of Applicant's response to the restriction requirement of November 16, 2005, the requirement has been reconsidered and the following new restriction requirement is made.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Figures 1-2 and 4-5.
- II. Figure 3.
- III. The embodiment where the vehicle is a submersible vehicle (not described in the specification, recited in claim 31).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2, 11-19, 23-30, and 32-34 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (571) 272-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,611

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C.V. March 1, 2006

Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745 Page 4